

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,262	12/07/2004	Kazuhiko Sugimoto	2004 1872A	8743
513 WENDEROTI	7590 09/24/200 H, LIND & PONACK, I		EXAMINER	
2033 K STREET N. W.			GUHARAY, KARABI	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
***************************************	711, 20 20000 1021		2879	
•			. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			- 09/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/517,262 SUGIMOTO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karabi Guharay	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comm. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	• •
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Electronic Selectronic S</u>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal mat	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-6 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 December 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	or election requirement. er. are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in <i>i</i> rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	ige
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 127/04.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2879

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, including claims 1-3 in the reply filed on 8/31/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 4-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, phosphor composition indicates variable X, Y, but since the value of X, y values are not defined, the scope of the claim cannot be determined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,262

Art Unit: 2879

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Kawamura et al. (JP-2003-336055, of record).

Regarding claims 1-3, Kawamura et al. disclose a plasma display panel comprising plurality of discharge cells (122 of Fig 1), which show single color (blue), being arranged, wherein a composition of the phosphor is Ba_(1-x-y) Sr_y MgAl₁₀O₁₇: Eu_x.

Further limitations of claim 1 & 2 are considered as product by process limitation.

Even though product by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art structure by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject process limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raukas et al. (US 7,014792).

Regarding claims 1-3, Rukus teaches a phosphor comprising $Ba_{(1-x-y)} Sr_y$ MgAl₁₀O₁₇: Eu_X where Y=0, and range of X is $0.1 \le X \le 0.20$ (see Abstract, and line 38 Art Unit: 2879

of column 2). But Rukus does not specifically disclose a plasma display panel having a plurality of discharge cells incorporating such phosphor.

But Rukus teaches that such phosphor has high thermal stability and radiance so it is highly suitable for Plasma display panel (lines 6-12 of column 1).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate such phosphor in discharge cells of a PDP since such phosphor has high thermal stability and radiance.

Further limitations of claim 1 & 2 are considered as product by process limitation.

Even though product by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art structure by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject process limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karabi Guharay whose telephone number is 571-272-2452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,262

Art Unit: 2879

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Kyuhasay Karabi Guharay Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879 9/13/07